

Re: Attorney Docket No. 1052.039In re application of: Peter B. Kenington

Serial No.: 09/743,003
Filed: 06/16/04
Matter No.: Andrew 776

Group Art Unit: 2817
Examiner: Michael B. Shingleton
Phone No.: 571-272-1770

For: A Predistorter**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Response is filed in response to the non-final office action of 08/23/06.**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-24 are pending in the application. The Applicant hereby requests further examination and reconsideration of the application in view of these remarks.

On page 2 of the office action, the Examiner stated that the application contains more than one species of the generic invention and required the Applicant to elect a single species from among eight species identified by the Examiner. In response, the Applicant elects with traverse Species III directed toward Fig. 16. According to the Examiner, claims 1-3, 8, 11-16, and 21-24 are deemed to correspond to Species III. In addition, the Applicant submits that claims 4, 9-10, and 17 correspond to Species III.

On page 3, the Examiner stated that claim 1 is considered to be generic. The Applicant notes that by the Examiner's own reckoning at the bottom of page 2 and the top of page 3, claims 2-3, 12-16, and 21-24 should also be identified as being generic.

The Applicant believes that the entire application is now in condition for allowance, and early and favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 09/18/2006
Customer No. 22186
Mendelsohn & Associates, P.C.
1500 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 405
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

/Steve Mendelsohn/
Steve Mendelsohn
Registration No. 35,951
Attorney for Applicant
(215) 557-6657 (phone)
(215) 557-8477 (fax)